UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/586,413 | 07/19/2006 | Kunihiro Mishima | SEY-06-1193 3130 | |
| | 7590 03/10/201 DLA PIPER LLP (US) | EXAMINER | | |
| ONE LIBERTY | ' PLACE | DONDERO, WILLIAM E | | |
| PHILADELPH | ST, SUITE 4900 IA, PA 19103 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3654 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/10/2011 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pto.phil@dlapiper.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/586,413 | MISHIMA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| WILLIAM E. DONDERO | 3654 | | |

| | WILLIAM E. DONDERO | 3654 | |
|--|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | | - | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance of | Appeal. To avoid abar i, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount o hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee se action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the | nsideration and/or search (see NOT N); er form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje | E below); ducing or simplifying th | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11.4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | | · |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.4.5.7 and 8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | be entered and an ex | of anation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /WILLIAM E DONDERO | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of the limitation, "alpha is greater than or equal to 45 degrees" to line 10 of Claim 1, provides an example of new issues requiring further search and consideration.